

Repositorium für die Medienwissenschaft

Daniel Barbu

Some Remarks on Toledot Yeshu (The Jewish Life of Jesus) in Early Modern Europe

2019

https://doi.org/10.25969/mediarep/19489

Veröffentlichungsversion / published version Zeitschriftenartikel / journal article

Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Barbu, Daniel: Some Remarks on Toledot Yeshu (The Jewish Life of Jesus) in Early Modern Europe. In: *Journal for Religion, Film and Media*. Understanding Jesus in the Early Modern Period and Beyond. Across Text and Other Media, Jg. 5 (2019), Nr. 1, S. 29–45. DOI: https://doi.org/10.25969/mediarep/19489.

Erstmalig hier erschienen / Initial publication here:

https://www.jrfm.eu/index.php/ojs_jrfm/article/view/162

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer Creative Commons - Namensnennung - Nicht kommerziell 4.0/ Lizenz zur Verfügung gestellt. Nähere Auskünfte zu dieser Lizenz finden Sie hier: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Terms of use:

This document is made available under a creative commons - Attribution - Non Commercial 4.0/ License. For more information see:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/





Some Remarks on Toledot Yeshu (The Jewish Life of Jesus) in Early Modern Europe

ABSTRACT

Toledot Yeshu (The Jewish Life of Jesus) is perhaps one of the most infamous retellings of the Gospel narrative of the pre-modern era. The present essay explores its reception and circulation among both Jews and Christians in the period before and after the first editions of the work, by J.C. Wagenseil in 1681 and J.J. Huldreich in 1705. The work was an object of fascination for early modern scholars of Judaism and was regularly invoked in discussions concerned with the Talmud and other Jewish books alleged to be blasphemous. For Jewish scholars, it was a source of embarrassment, although both the manuscript and the documentary evidence demonstrate that many Jews did view Toledot Yeshu as a culturally significant narrative, worthy of being transmitted. It is here suggested that Toledot Yeshu, with its direct and emotional cogency, combining history, humour and polemics, was indeed recognized by early modern Jews and crypto-Jews as a powerful story, through which they could articulate their identity.

KEYWORDS

Toledot Yeshu, Jesus, Polemics, Blasphemy, Reception

BIOGRAPHY

Daniel Barbu (PhD Geneva, 2012) is a Researcher with the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) in Paris. He has taught in many Swiss universities and has been a visiting fellow at the University of Cambridge and at the University of Western Australia. He is the author of Naissance de l'idolâtrie: Image, identité, religion (Liège, 2016), and has edited or co-edited a number of volumes, including Le savoir des religions. Fragments d'historiographie religieuse (Gollion, 2014). He is one of the editors of the Geneva-based journal of anthropology and history of religions ASDIWAL.

WHAT IS TOLEDOT YESHU?

Toledot Yeshu (The Jewish Life of Jesus) is perhaps one of the most infamous retellings of the Gospel narrative of the pre-modern era. 1 It aroused the ire of anti-

1 I warmly thank Prof. William Horbury for his most valuable comments on this essay, as well as for a

www.jrfm.eu 2019, 5/1 DOI: 10.25364/05.4:2019.1.3 Jewish polemicists, was a delight for anti-clerical propagandists and a subject of embarrassment for Jewish scholars. There is little doubt that the work - or rather different versions of the work – circulated throughout the early modern period, be it in writing or merely as hearsay. The text certainly has a longer history, yet most of the extant manuscripts were produced between the 16th and 19th centuries. Scholars have long debated whether Toledot Yeshu is an ancient or medieval work, but it is as much an antique tradition as it is a medieval and early modern text.² Whatever its origins, which remain somewhat obscure, the historical contexts in which the work was read, copied, transmitted, expanded and discussed (or disparaged) need to be considered, as well as the ways in which this ill-reputed yet widely popular narrative contributed to shaping both Jewish and Christian imaginations of Christian origins. Individual versions of the work and also the rich body of sources reflective of its circulation and reception do more than witness to a history of textual transmission; they also shed light on the cultural interactions that defined Jewish–Christian relations in the early modern world.3

Toledot Yeshu provides a "counter-history" of the life of Jesus and the origins of Christianity.⁴ The narrative has come down to us in a great variety of forms, and even the title under which it is most commonly known is not invariable.⁵ Building equally on Jewish and Christian traditions (both canonical and apocryphal), it offers a version of the story from an anti-Christian, polemical perspective. It thus presents Jesus as a mock prophet and a charlatan who per-

number of references he generously shared with me. All shortcomings are however mine. Further aspects of the early modern reception of *Toledot Yeshu* will be discussed in my book, forthcoming with Le Seuil.

On Toledot Yeshu see now Meerson/Schäfer 2014, with the reservations offered by Stökl Ben Ezra 2016. Other seminal studies include Krauss 1902; Horbury 1970; Schlichting 1982; Di Segni 1985. See also the essays gathered in Schäfer/Meerson/Deutsch 2011; Barbu/Deutsch, forthcoming.

- 2 See Horbury, forthcoming (b); Barbu 2018a.
- 3 I here use the notion of early modern Europe, as given in the title, in a somewhat flexible way, to cover a long period (c. 1400–1900) and allowing for some observations on the United States.
- 4 Funkenstein 1993; Biale 1999 and see below, section V.
- Other titles include Ma'ase Yeshu ha-Notsri (Story of Jesus the Nazarene), Gezarot Yeshu (Decrees of Jesus), Ma'ase Talui (Story of the Hanged One). See the discussion in Horbury, forthcoming (b). Most of the extant texts can be divided into two main families, which for the sake of convenience I call the "Aramaic" and "Hebrew" Toledot-traditions corresponding to Riccardo Di Segni's "Pilate" and "Helena" groups respectively (Di Segni 1984, 1985, 29–42). While reflecting the presumed original languages of both traditions, my distinction is not simply linguistic, as texts from both traditions circulated in a number of other languages (e.g. Judeo-Arabic and Yiddish). The traditions doubtless co-existed for quite some time (with likely intersection and contamination) and were still recognized by one medieval commentator (Alfonso de Valladolid, previously Abner of Burgos) as two distinct "books"; see Barbu 2018b; Stökl Ben Ezra 2018. Yet the "Aramaic" tradition, widely diffused in the early Middle Ages, seems to have progressively disappeared in the following centuries. Most versions known to late medieval and early modern copyists and readers thus belong to the "Hebrew" tradition, which I therefore privilege in my summary of the work. For a different classification, see Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 1, 28–39.

formed would-be miracles by resorting to "magic" (using either God's ineffable name or "magical books" imported from Egypt), thus raising an army of gullible disciples. After a series of twists and turns worthy of a fantasy novel (including an aerial battle with Judas Iscariot), he is eventually captured by the rabbis, scourged in public and put to death. The story goes on to tell how his body was then summarily buried in a nearby garden until his disciples declared him resurrected, at which point it was unearthed, dragged through the streets and thrown into a cesspit – while his followers were exiled or massacred. Most versions of the narrative open with an account of Jesus' conception, narrating how his mother committed adultery with a disreputable neighbour and, worse, while she was menstruating. The episode highlights Jesus' double infamy: he is both an illegitimate and an impure child (mamzer u-ben ha-niddah) – and by extension, we may assume, so too Christianity is illegitimate and impure. The story often ends with the separation of Jews and Christians through the intervention of undercover rabbis whom the Christians know as Peter and Paul. They infiltrated the unruly crowd of Jesus' disciples and provided them with the new laws and customs that would distinguish them from the Jews – de facto inventing a new and separate religion.6

CHRISTIAN READERS AND JEWISH SCRIBES

For Christian readers the story was understandably hard to take.⁷ The first editor of the work, the Altdorf professor of Oriental languages Johann Christoph Wagenseil, called it "the most impious and horrible thing ever committed to writing since the origins of man" and a "diabolical" book "defecated by the Devil".⁸ Nevertheless, it also sparked a certain fascination, and as Wagenseil noted, Christian scholars had spared no effort in seeking to uncover this surreptitious book, which he himself eventually obtained "with much fatigue and at high cost". In the previous centuries, Christian converts from Judaism had repeatedly referred to this "secret" booklet containing horrible blasphemies against Jesus and the Virgin; and medieval anti-Jewish polemicists, many of whom gained a second life in the age of print, mentioned this shameful story according to which the miracles of Christ had been performed with the ineffable name.⁹ By the time Wagenseil published the *Tela Ignea Satanae* (*Fiery Darts of Sa-*

- 6 On this story, see recently Gager/Stökl Ben Ezra 2015.
- 7 On the Christian reception of Toledot Yeshu, see Deutsch 1997, 2011; Horbury 2016 and forthcoming (a).
- 8 Wagenseil 1681, vol. 2, "Liber Toldos Jeschu," 2, "Confutatio Libri Toldos Jeschu," 1 [25], 8, 9, passim.
- 9 In particular the *Pharetra fidei contra Judeos super Talmuth*, which circulated widely in the late Middle Ages, first in manuscript and eventually in print; see Horbury, forthcoming (a), referring to Wolf 1715–1746, vol. 4, 567. I thank Prof. Horbury for having shared this reference with me. On the *Pharetra*, see Schreckenberger 1995, 335–36; Patschovsky 1992, 18–19; and Dahan 1999, who identifies Thibault

tan), in 1681, Toledot Yeshu had very much become an open secret, cited by no less than Martin Luther and frequently discussed by Christian Hebraists – even if mainly on the basis of extracts or summaries. 10 Wagenseil's edition was soon followed by another one, published in 1705 by the Swiss theologian Johann Jacob Huldreich. Both the Wagenseil and the Huldreich editions were widely cited and discussed in the 18th century. Thus the influential Protestant scholar and historian of the Jews Jacques Basnage sought to dispel the fear inspired by this and other "monstrous" works while noting that the narrative also preserved a kernel of truth "in the midst of its accumulation of fables and lies" namely that Jesus had indeed performed miracles. 11 Or Voltaire, who went even further and, insisting on the work's antiquity, claimed that Toledot Yeshu, however despicable, was perhaps more trustworthy than the canonical Gospels. 12 It comes as no surprise that in the wake of the Enlightenment, anti-Christian traditions such as Toledot Yeshu came to be appropriated by anti-clerical writers with a view to fostering their critique of religion and questioning the historical status of the biblical narrative.13

The subversive character of the narrative was fully recognized by those who copied and transmitted it. A number of manuscripts thus bear scribal indications that the work should be concealed lest Jews be exposed to the resentment of

of Sézanne as its author. The story was also known through Ramón Martí's Pugio fidei adversus Mauros et Judaeos (1278), whose citations of the works were reproduced by Porchetus Salvaticus in the 14th century and Alfonso de Espina in the 15th century; Deutsch 2011, 289. The latter work was first printed in Strasbourg in 1471 and subsequently appeared in no fewer than seven editions between 1475 and 1525. Porchetus, whose Victoria adversus impios Hebraeos was printed in Paris in 1520, was the source of Martin Luther's 1543 translation of the work. For further late medieval mentions of the work see Callsen/Knapp/Nieser/Pryzbilski 2003, 17-18. Petrus Niger, Tractatus contra perifidos Judaeos de conditionibus veri Messiae (1475) (quoted in Wolf 1715-1746, vol. 2, 1114, 1443, and see Deutsch 2011, 291), also provided the Hebrew name of the work (Sefer Toldot Jehoschuah hanozeri, i.e. liber generationis Jesu). Alfonso de Valladolid / Abner of Burgos and Thomas Ebendorfer cited the work in the 14th century and 15th century respectively, the latter even translating it into Latin, but their writings had only limited circulation; see the discussion in Barbu/Dahhaoui 2018. Knowledge of Toledot Yeshu also appears in a 1415 papal bull by Benedict XIII, Etsi doctores gentium (Simonsohn 1989, 593-602 [n. 538], at 595), whence it was cited by King Ferdinand I of Aragon in the wake of the disputation of Tortosa (cf. Feliu 1989, 243); and later in the ritual murder trials of Trent (1475) and Avila (1491), where Jews were accused of uttering blasphemies while staging the crucifixion and torturing a Christian child; see respectively Di Segni 1989 and Fita 1887, 88-89, with Horbury 1970, 69 and following.

10 Luther 1920, 573–648. For Luther, *Toledot Yeshu* showcased the absurdity of the rabbinic tradition as a whole, and as noted by Stephen Burnett, his attack was aimed as much at the dispersed Jewish communities of the imperial provinces as at rival theologians seeking to unearth theological riches from the Talmud and its medieval Jewish commentators, and thus flirting dangerously with "Judaism" (Burnett, forthcoming; cf. also Morgenstern 2016). See Kattermann 1938 on Luther's use of Porchetus as well as von der Osten-Sacken 2002, sp. 184, n. 141 on his use of Anton Margaritha. I thank Prof. Burnett for his notes on this question. On Luther's attitude towards the Jews, see now Nirenberg 2013, 246–269; Kaufmann 2018.

- 11 Basnage 1716, vol. 1, 14; vol. 5, 253–290, citation at 287.
- 12 See Barbu 2011.
- 13 See Wheeler and Foote 1885, with Lockshin 1993.

Christians. Thus in a manuscript copied around 1740, we read: "This booklet contains an orally transmitted tradition, from one person to another; it may be written, but not printed, due to our harsh exile. Beware of reading it before the youth, children, or lightheaded people and even more so before the uncircumcised who understand German."14 One copyist asks his reader to forgive him his many errors as he wrote "in great haste and in the utmost secrecy". 15 For most of its history, especially after Jews came under increasing pressure in medieval Christendom from the 13th century on, Toledot Yeshu circulated somewhat undercover, as part of the Jews' "hidden transcript" – to borrow James C. Scott's words – that is as "a discourse that takes place 'offstage', (in principle) beyond direct observation by powerholders", a discourse transmitted behind closed doors and voicing a critique of the dominant culture. 16 This hidden transcript, which Scott claimed was inherent to every situation of social and political subordination, is what enables minority cultures to cope with this subordination and assert their own identity and social space, all the while resisting and challenging the dominant discourse. The problem arises, however, when the hidden transcript turns public. In 1429, a dozen Jews from the small town of Trévoux, on the border between France and Savoy, were interrogated after a copy of Toledot Yeshu was found in a Jewish home. Understandably, they all denied having any knowledge of the work except for the individual in whose house it had been found, who claimed that it had been copied a long time ago by a relative living far away and insisted that he had never shown it to anyone.¹⁷ Obviously no one wanted to be caught with this work, especially in a world in which Christian polemicists repeatedly accused Jews of conspiring against the church precisely by spreading secret "lies" and "blasphemies". 18 Even in the late 19th century, a Jewish publisher from New York could be thrown into jail under blasphemy charges for printing a Yiddish version of the work.¹⁹

- 14 Quoted from Deutsch 2011, 283. See further Krauss 1902, 10–11. The same warning appears in a number of manuscripts, as noted in Barbu/Dahhaoui 2018, n. 24.
- 15 Cf. Neubauer 1886, 405 (N° 2172), quoted by Horbury 1970, 8, n5.
- 16 Scott 1990, here at 4-5.
- 17 Loeb 1883; Barbu/Dahhaoui 2018.
- 18 The "secret" character of Toledot Yeshu was thus noted already in the 15th century by the Viennese cleric Thomas Ebendorfer; cf. Callsen/Knapp/Nieser/Pryzbilski 2003, 137. In general, see Carlebach 1996.
- 19 The case had been brought into court by the notorious Anthony Comstock. The publisher, Meyer Chinski, was arrested on 30 June 1897, released on bail and eventually acquitted on 6 January 1898. See Record of Persons Arrested under the Auspices of the New York Society for the Suppression of Vice, vol. III, Library of Congress Manuscript Division, MSS34587; MMC-3288; Twenty Fourth Annual Report of the Society for the Suppression of Vice (New York, 18 January 1898), 22–23. I thank Amy Werbel for kindly sending me a copy of these documents. See also the Special Session court docket, 6 January 1898. A Yiddish account of the trial was published that year by Chinski's lawyer, Solomon Rosenthal, under the title Victory [Nizzahon] in Special Session, with a full-fledged defence of the incriminated work, aiming to preserve Jews from the influence of Christian missionaries. The case, to which I intend to return in a

DISCLAIMING OR RECLAIMING THE TRADITION

Jewish scholars of the early Wissenschaft des Judentums (the 19th-century "Science of Judaism") explicitly disowned this ill-reputed work, calling it "tasteless" and "miserable", a "spurious and mischievous" book, a "pile of dump in a dark corner of Jewish literature" or even an "invention of the anti-Semites". Only a few explicitly defended it as a reaction to Christian persecution or dared consider it "harmless" when compared to Christian attacks on Jews and Judaism. In the 18th century, Moses Mendelsohn had already firmly asserted (echoing Wagenseil) that Toledot Yeshu was "a miscarriage from the times of legends" and recognized as such by each and every Jew. And a little more than a century earlier, the Venetian rabbi Leone Modena had called it "a lie and a mockery", adding that it was a disgrace for Jews to believe in such nonsense. Obviously such dismissals also aimed to deflect accusations of blasphemy and the aura of scandal surrounding the narrative since the Middle Ages.

So, for example, Zalman Zvi of Aufhausen wrote his Yudischer Theriak (Jewish Antidote), published in 1615, as an "antidote" to the calumnies spread by a Christian convert from Judaism, Samuel Friederich Brenz, and roundly replied with regard to Toledot Yeshu that "in all his life [he had] never seen such a book", accusing Brenz of having written it himself in order "to beat and slander us with it". ²⁴ And Josel of Rosheim, the Jewish delegate at the Habsburg court, in a letter of July 1543 addressed to the City Council of Strasbourg requested that Martin Luther's book Vom Schem Hamphoras und vom Geschlecht Christi (Of the Ineffable Name and the Generation of Christ), which included a German translation of Toledot Yeshu, be prohibited on account of the violence the Reformer's anti-Jewish slurs had already caused in a number of German cities. ²⁵ Josel insisted that the Jews had little if any knowledge of the blasphemous story

future publication, was also mentioned in a number of contemporary newspapers; see e.g. the "New York Letter" in *The Jewish Chronicle* (19 November 1897). On Comstock and his campaign for public morality, see now Werbel 2018.

- 20 Graetz 1853–1870, vol. 10, 302, Steinschneider 1850, 409; Karpeles 1909, vol. 1, 325; Neubauer 1888, 81–82; Schechter 1900, 415; Porges 1902, 173; 177. Richard Gottheil (1897), commenting on the Chinski case (see above, n. 19), noted that he had "seldom read a viler production" (i.e. *Toledot Yeshu*) and hoped that the punishment meted out to its publisher would be "severe enough to deter him from ever attempting to write again in a similar strain". For a discussion, see also Horbury, forthcoming (a), with reference also to earlier examples.
- 21 Jellinek 1877, vol. 6, x; Karpeles 1902, vol. 2, 165: "Die vielfach entstellenden Sagen, die die Grundlage dieser kleinen Schrift bilden, sind aber doch immer sehr harmlos den scharfen Angriffen gegenüber, die das Judentum schon in den Tagen der Kirchenväter erfahren hatte."
- 22 Mendelssohn 1974, 362, and cf. Wagenseil 1681, "Confutatio Libri Toldos Jeschu," 1 [25].
- 23 Modena 1960, 43 (III, 9). See Fishman 2003; Facchini, forthcoming.
- 24 See now Faierstein 2016, here at 48–49 (1, 7).
- 25 Fraenkel-Goldschmidt 2006, 398-417. See above, n. 10.

Luther referred to, which he himself knew only because a Christian friend, the Hebraist Wolfgang Capito, had shown him a manuscript of the work, which he had received along with other Hebrew books from Constantinople. Citing Capito's opinion that similar things were found in no other Jewish book, he argued that the work had likely been written "in ancient times" by some unknown author and hardly reflected the opinion of "the community as a whole". ²⁶

Despite these claims, there is ample evidence that Toledot Yeshu did circulate among Jews, and that the latter did not regard it a peripheral tradition. As a matter of fact, early modern Jewish scribes were no less eager than Christian Hebraists to copy the work when they got hold of it – even if their purpose was obviously different. In certain cases, they could even turn to the printed editions. All the extant manuscripts of the Huldreich version, for instance, depend on the printed text, witnessing to the Jews' interest in reclaiming the narrative in contexts where original Hebrew manuscripts were perhaps difficult to find.²⁷ In the Netherlands, it seems the Huldreich and the Wagenseil Toledot texts were combined and translated into Yiddish along with whatever material was available in manuscript in order to produce a more coherent version of the story.²⁸ It was likely also from the Netherlands that the so-called Slavic or Tam u-Muad versions, which expanded on the earlier tradition and turned the story of Mary's adultery into full-fledged novel, started to spread.²⁹

Both the Netherlands and the Italian peninsula witness to an intense revival of polemical activity in the 17th and 18th centuries – presumably under the influence of Spanish and Portuguese Jews who had imported the longstanding Iberian tradition of engaging in fierce scriptural polemics with Christianity.³⁰ In

- 26 Fraenkel-Goldschmidt 2006, 411–413. That Toledot Yeshu was seen as apocryphal by the Jews themselves had been argued just a few years earlier by the Humanist scholar Johannes Reuchlin (1999, 29), referring to Paul of Burgos, Scrutinum scriptuarum II, 6 (Burgos 1591, 384).
- 27 Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 1, 25, vol. 2, 238–240; see Yoffie 2011. See especially Ms. Frankfurt-am-Main, Universitätsbibliothek, Heb 249 (dated 1812), f. 2r: "I already lost hope to find a (single) word written by our people concerning the story of Yeshu ha-Notsri, since all the nation is wandering in darkness, and there is no one who knows a thing about it, little or big, and who can testify against the Christians and against their numerous books full of all the virtues and greatness of Yeshu. But when my friend Greenberg returned from Leipzig, he showed me a copy from a booklet that he had found in the local library; the name of the book is 'The Generation of Yeshu ha-Notsri' I was very happy to find some (evidence), and I asked him to make a copy for me too. Thus, here is the book, published in the year 1705, and kept in the National Library of Leipzig under the number G336" (quoted in Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 2, 240).
- 28 See Michels 2017 and forthcoming. This seems to have been the case for Leib ben Oser, whose Yiddish text of Toledot Yeshu is followed by a biography of Sabbatai Zvi and Yosef della Reina, as if to underline the link between the three pseudo-messianic figures. For an edition and translation of that text, see Rosenzweig, forthcoming. For the legend of Yosef della Reina as preserved in this manuscript, see now Baumgarten 2018.
- 29 Schlichting 1982.
- 30 For the Netherlands, see Popkin 1992, 1994. For Italy, see Lasker 1993; Horbury 1993. On Jewish anti-Christian polemics in early modern Italy, see the research project directed by Prof. Károly Dániel Dobos at the University of Budapest, http://www.jcrpolemicsinitaly.at.

Italy, the work was abridged and copied alongside medieval anti-Christian polemics or appended to new polemical writings such as Judah Briel's Hassagot al sippure ha-sheluchim (Criticisms of the Writings of the Apostles), apparently in order to illuminate the historical context of the Christian Gospels.³¹ In the German lands, Toledot manuscripts were perhaps more scarce, although recurring references to the narrative in the writings of Christians converts from Judaism suggest that it was widely known.³² An autograph manuscript preserved at the Bodleian Library, in Oxford, does show that despite his official rebuttals, Josel of Rosheim himself copied extracts of Toledot Yeshu – maybe from the Constantinople manuscript Capito had shown him – for the sake of transmitting this ancient "oral tradition" to future generations.³³

At the same time, the tradition also moved eastwards, following the movement of Jewish populations in the early modern era, as suggested by the significant number of manuscripts copied in eastern Europe, or even in the Caucasus, in the 18th and 19th centuries.³⁴ The best manuscript exemplar of the standard medieval version of the work, the so-called Strasbourg text of *Toledot Yeshu*, first published by Samuel Krauss in 1902, was copied in Eastern Galicia in the 17th or 18th century by a Karaite scribe, confirming that the narrative was a matter of interest for both Rabbanites and Karaite Jews.³⁵ It was via eastern Europe that the work eventually also reached the United States.³⁶

- 31 See the discussion in Horbury 1970: 153–169; Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 2, 192–195. A third of the extant Hebrew manuscripts were produced in Italy; see Barbu, forthcoming.
- 32 Cf. Deutsch 1997; Carlebach 2006. Christian converts from Judaism describing the customs of their former coreligionists regularly claimed that the Jews recited *Toledot Yeshu* on the eve of Christmas as a way to instill a fear of Jesus among Jewish children. See the sources quoted in Shapiro 1999; and for an analysis, see also Scharbach 2013. The accusation first appears in Ebendorfer's prologue to his Latin translation of *Toledot Yeshu*; see Callsen/Knapp/Nieser/Pryzbilski 2003, 36–37.
- 33 Ms. Oxford, Bodleian, Opp. 712, f. 157a, with the following preamble, quoted in Fraenkel-Goldschmidt 2006, 412 and Carlebach 2006, 456: "This is the book of the judgment of Yeshu ben Pandira. Although it cannot be found in German lands, I copied it as a novelty, and who can blame me for this. It concerns what happened in ancient times and great things that our predecessors received by oral tradition. It is not fitting for me to write things that were not written or did not happen: I have not refrained from writing the truth in order that it should last for many days."
- 34 For a list of manuscripts, see Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 2, 1–48. A number of additional witnesses should, however, be added to that list. I thank Michael Krupp for sharing with me a list of the manuscripts in his possession.
- 35 Krauss 1902, 38–50. See now Meerson/Schäfer 2014, vol. 1, 167–184 (English) and vol. 2, 79–95 (Hebrew). On this manuscript, see Horbury 2011; Stöckl Ben Ezra, forthcoming. On the circulation of the Strasbourg version in the Middle Ages, see Barbu/Dahhaoui 2018. That polemical material circulated between the Karaite and Rabbanite communities in that context is further illustrated by Isaac of Troki's Hizzuk Emunah; see Miriam Benfatto's contribution in the present volume.
- 36 Cf. the case mentioned above, n. 19 and Schlichting 1982, 17–19. In addition to the two Brooklyn prints in Hebrew mentioned by Schlichting, I have found a copy of the Yiddish text published by Meyer Chinsky (1897?) under the title Yeshu ha-Notzri, oder Yosef Pandre in the library of the Centre for Jewish History, New York (YIVO Library, Main Stack Collection 000004708 a).

A POLEMICAL NARRATIVE AND ITS FUNCTIONS

It seems Toledot Yeshu did have an important role among early modern Jews. I have explored elsewhere the normative dimension of the narrative.³⁷ Even if outrageous to some, it was identified by others as an ancestral tradition shedding a different but doubtless more trustworthy light on the historical events that led to the birth of Christianity. The careful datings provided at the beginning of a number of versions, contesting those found in the Gospels, certainly witness to the historical preoccupations of the scribes who copied them. As noted by David Biale, counter-history is also a way to reclaim history, to argue that we possess the true narrative. 38 Even if they upturn the official story, counter-histories are no less true than the narratives they subvert; and the question is not so much whether the story is true but rather to whom it is true. And also: when, where and why? Truth is a matter of perspective, if not a matter of power. Counter-histories reflect the struggle between competing social groups, entangled in asymmetrical relations of power and thus possessing unequal authority to speak the truth and decide what counts as true and what does not. The wide dissemination of Toledot Yeshu and the constant process of embellishment, adaptation and interpretation that accompanied the reception and transmission of the narrative in the early modern period bear witness to the Jews' enduring need to have an answer to the Christian narrative of history – and to the place and role ascribed to Jews in that narrative – and to reinstate what they perceived as historical truth.

Ultimately, in relating how Christianity came into being, the narrative is saying something about what Jews are and what Christians are and what their respective places should be. Such a reading of history, providing a subversive account of Jesus' conception, miracles and resurrection, could only be viewed as polemical by its Christian readers. Yet this is only one side of the story, for *Toledot Yeshu* is as much a narrative about Jesus and the origins of Christianity as it is a story about adultery, magic, heresy, norm and anomaly. Beyond its polemical aspects, the story is also, if not primarily, a story that speaks to Jews as much as it answers Christians. And while *Toledot Yeshu* contributed to shaping Jewish perceptions of Christianity and allowed Jews to make sense of Christianity's founding narrative, it also provided Jews with a way to vent the pressure exerted by the dominant religion – inter alia through mockery and laughter.

It must be noted that however polemical, the story was also meant to entertain.³⁹ Thus, while in certain contexts the work was copied together with what

³⁷ Barbu 2018b; see also Latteri 2015.

³⁸ Biale 1999, 134-135.

³⁹ So Cuffel 2015.

can properly be called anti-Christian polemics (such as, for instance, Profiat Duran's *Kelimat ha-Goyim* or the medieval *Nizzahon Yashan*), it could also be included in collections of tales (*ma'asyiot*) or alongside other popular and witty narratives such as *Alphabet of Ben Sira* or *Massekhet Purim*. ⁴⁰ In the Netherlands, where Jews enjoyed somewhat more freedom to express their religious sentiments, Yiddish interpretations of *Toledot Yeshu* were perhaps even performed within the community. Evi Michels recently pointed to an 18th-century Yiddish manuscript dividing the narrative into a series of "acts" (*bedrijf*), while another is adorned with a frontispiece showing a stage curtain. ⁴¹ Sarit Kattan Gribetz similarly wonders whether the story was not indeed read aloud or performed on specific occasions such as Easter or Christmas, as anti-Jewish authors often claimed, to vent communal anxieties and celebrate the demise of the evil Jesus and perhaps also for the amusement (and edification) of Jewish children.

Toledot Yeshu is as playful as it is polemical. There is much to say about the role of humour and irony in the hidden transcript, and while humour is notoriously difficult to trace historically, many episodes in the extant Toledot tradition unequivocally function as gags.⁴³ Such is the case with the story of Jesus' conception, which narrates how Mary's neighbour Pandera was able to pass as her husband (or fiancé) and lie with her (in effect, rape her).⁴⁴ When Mary's husband returns and in his turn seeks to embrace his wife, she rebukes him, claiming they already had sex and leaving the husband perplexed. The scene and its witty dialogue are obviously closer to Boccaccio or the medieval fabliaux, full of wanton erotic jokes, than to solemn religious disputations, and as such were presumably meant to prompt the audience to laugh.⁴⁵

HUMOUR IN THE HULDREICH VERSION

The late medieval Huldreich version, which in many respects departs from the standard tradition, is punctuated by such humorous snippets. Here Mary is described as an exceptionally beautiful woman who is locked up by her husband Hest the villains whore with her. Passing under her window, Pandera rescues her with a ladder and they run away to live in adultery. When he discovers his spurious origins, their son, Jesus, kills his father and tortures his mother before

- 41 Michels 2017 and forthcoming.
- 42 Kattan Gribetz 2011, 176–179, and see above, n. 32 for further references.
- 43 See the studies gathered in Classen 2010. In particular, see Sewell 2010.
- 44 Literary parallels are explored in Di Segni 1985; see further Barbu 2018 (b), 94, n80.
- 45 See however Sewell 2010 on humour in Nizzahon Yashan.
- 46 On the Huldreich text, see Yoffie 2011.

⁴⁰ See Yassif 2011; Horbury 2013. Note however that the evidence mainly comes from Oriental manuscripts.

escaping to Galilee. There he starts gathering disciples, baptizing them with the mysterious "waters of Bolet", which prevent their hair from growing, so that they can be recognized as "Jesus' men" – an evident pun on the clerical tonsure. In the course of their adventures, Jesus and his closest disciples get lost in the desert. Starving and exhausted, they have to beg for water and bread. The people they come across mock the pretended wonder-maker who cannot "do a miracle to save [him]self and to find water". A man asks Jesus to dance in exchange for some bread as well as his donkey – and Jesus complies. At every stage of his career, Jesus thus appears as a pitiable loser, eliciting more ridicule and scorn than admiration.

Consider the following episode, where Jesus, Peter and Judas eventually find a hostel in the middle of the desert and ask the hostess for food:

The landlady said, "I do not have anything but a roast goose." Jesus took the goose, put it before them, and said, "This goose is not enough for three people. Let us go to sleep and the one who will dream a good dream shall eat the goose." They lay, and at midnight Judas rose up and ate the goose. They rose up in the morning and Peter said, "I dreamed that I sat near the throne of the son of God Almighty." And Jesus said, "I am the son of God Almighty, and I dreamed that you were sitting with me, and look, in my dream, I am better than (you in) yours, so the goose is mine to eat." And Judas said, "I, in my dream, ate the goose." Jesus looked for the goose and did not find it because Judas had eaten it.⁴⁷

It is difficult not to read this episode as a joke. Yet it aroused the ire of the pious editor of the text (i.e. Huldreich), who in all seriousness commented: "This fable is utterly inept and worthy of its [anonymous] author", who thus turned the New Testament account of the feeding of the many into a "tasteless story in which it is figured that Jesus was not even able to quench the hunger of three men with a whole goose".⁴⁸

CONCLUSION: REPLACING TOLEDOT YESHU IN ITS CONTEXTS

For all its mockery and wit, *Toledot Yeshu* does offer a serious attack on the Christian myth, and on the fundamental tenets of Christianity. Mockery is indeed a powerful form of polemic. The conception narrative thus rebuffs the claim that Jesus was the son of God born from an unsullied virgin. The description of his would-be miracles as mere magical tricks denies his alleged divine

⁴⁷ Meerson/Schäfer, Toledot Yeshu, vol. 1, 312 (English) and vol. 2, 245–246 (Hebrew), and see the references in vol. 1: 38, 36n: the episode is inspired by the medieval Gesta Romanorum. Huldreich translates the "goose" (here written אוויא) by anserculus, "gosling," which would indeed make more sense in the context.

⁴⁸ Huldreich 1705, 53-54, 1n.

powers, while the account of his death, burial and post-mortem treatment strongly contradicts the belief in Jesus' resurrection and the very meaning of the crucifixion. *Toledot Yeshu* does not only make fun of Jesus; it also offers a calculated response to Christian dogma. Despite both Jewish and Christian commentators calling it nonsensical twaddle, it seems that the narrative did allow Jews to articulate their identity through a powerful and effective anti-Christian discourse. Inquisitorial records from either the Italian peninsula or the Spanish provinces give us a glimpse of how much that discourse remained in force also in crypto-Jewish circles, and how the Jewish story of Jesus, along with other remnants of Jewish identity, continued to be shared among converso families even decades after their conversion.⁴⁹

The early modern contexts in which ancient or medieval traditions were copied and transmitted are not often given much consideration. Yet the early modern manuscripts in which they have come down to us are not merely witnesses to the textual history of a work; they are cultural artefacts that need to be replaced and understood within the context or contexts in which they were produced and consumed. The history of Toledot Yeshu can tell us much about these contexts, and, conversely, the historical contexts in which the narrative circulated can tell us much about its functions and uses and about its effects. Toledot Yeshu raises stimulating questions about the ways in which Jews, as a minority group in Western Christendom, perceived their cultural environment and actively challenged the foundational narrative of Christianity. Toledot Yeshu is quite different from the more sophisticated Jewish polemics circulating in late medieval and early modern Europe, such as Isaac Troki's Hizzuk Emunah (Faith Strenghtened), which offered a detail and systematic critique of Christian sources and arguments.⁵⁰ Yet this narrative, with its direct and emotional cogency, and the role of this narrative in allowing Jews to preserve and uphold their identity in the face of Christian hegemony should not be underestimated – as its early modern readers doubtless recognized.

⁴⁹ See Barbu, forthcoming. In some cases the narrative seems to have been used to try and convince Jews who had converted to Christianity to return their earlier faith; see Barbu 2018b, 83 and the references cited there. On *Toledot Yeshu* among conversos, see also Gutwirth 1996; Ben-Shalom 1999.

⁵⁰ Popkin 2007; Benfatto 2018. The classical treatment of Jewish anti-Christian polemics is offered by Lasker 1977. On their influence in the early Enlightenment, see also Popkin 1992; Tarantino 2007, 95 and following, as well as the bibliography cited there.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Barbu, Daniel, 2011, Voltaire and the *Toledoth Yeshu*, in: Clivaz Claire / Dettwiler, Andreas / Devillers, Luc / Norelli, Enrico (eds.), Infancy Gospels: Stories and Identities, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 617–627.
- Barbu, Daniel, 2018a, L'Évangile selon les Juifs: à propos de quelques témoignages antiques, Anabases 28, 157–180.
- Barbu, Daniel, 2018b, The Case about Jesus: (Counter-)History and Casuistry in *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Ginzburg, Carlo / Biasori, Lucio (eds.), A Historical Approach to Casuistry: Norms and Exceptions in a Comparative Perspective, London: Bloomsbury, 65–98.
- Barbu, Daniel, forthcoming, Jewish Jesus Narratives (*Toledot Yeshu*) in Seventeenth Century Italy, in: Stünkel, Knut Martin / Tarantino, Giovanni (eds.), Holy Affections and Religious Entanglements in Early Modern Europe: Contacts, Polemics, and Representations, special issue of Entangled Religions.
- Barbu, Daniel / Dahhaoui, Yann, 2018, Un manuscrit français des *Toledot Yeshu*: le ms. lat. 12722 et l'enquête de 1429 contre les juifs de Trévoux, Henoch. Historical and Texual Studies in Ancient and Medieval Judaism and Christianity 40.2.
- Barbu, Daniel / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), forthcoming, *Toledot Yeshu* in Context: Jewish–Christian Polemics in Ancient, Medieval and Modern History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Baumgarten, Jean, La légende de Yosef della Reina, activiste messianique, Paris: Éditions de l'éclat, 2018.
- Benfatto, Miriam, 2018, Gesù nella letteratura polemica ebraica anticristiana: studi sul Sefer Ḥizzuq Emunah di Yiṣḥaq ben Avraham Troqi (c. 1533–1594), PhD, University of Bologna.
- Ben-Shalom, Ram, 1999, The Converso as Subversive: Jewish Traditions or Christian Libel, Journal of Jewish Studies 50.2, 259–283.
- Biale, David, 1999, Counter-History and Jewish Polemics against Christianity: The Sefer Toldot Yeshu and the Sefer Zerubavel, Jewish Social Studies 6.1, 130–145.
- Burgos, Paul of, 1591, Scrutinum scriptuarum, Burgos: P. luntam.
- Burnett, Stephen G., forthcoming, Martin Luther, *Toledot Yeshu* and Judaizing Christians in Vom Schem Hamphoras (1543), in: Barbu, Daniel / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), *Toledot Yeshu* in Context: Jewish–Christian Polemics in Ancient, Medieval and Modern History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Callsen, Brigitta / Knapp, Fritz P. / Nieser, Manuela / Pryzbilski, Martin (eds.), 2003, Das jüdische Leben Jesu Toldot Jeschu: die älteste lateinische Übersetzung in den Falsitates Judeorum von Thomas Ebendorfer, Vienna: R. Oldenbourg.
- Carlebach, Elisheva, 1996, Attribution of Secrecy and Perceptions of Jewry, Jewish Social Studies 2.3, 115–136.
- Carlebach, Elisheva, 2006, Jewish Responses to Christianity in Reformation Germany, in: Bell, Dean Phillip / Burnett, Stephen G. (eds.), Jews, Judaism, and the Reformation in Sixteenth-Century Germany, Leiden: Brill, 451–480.
- Classen, Albrecht (ed.), 2010, Laughter in the Middle Ages and Early Modern Times: Epistemology of a Fundamental Human Behavior, its Meaning, and Consequences, Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Cuffel, Alexandra, 2015, Between Epic Entertainment and Polemical Exegesis: Jesus as Antihero in *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Szpiech, Ryan (ed.), Medieval Exegesis and Religious Difference: Commentary, Conflict, and Community in the Premodern Mediterranean, New York: Fordham University Press, 155–70.
- Dahan, Gilbert, 1999, Les traductions latines de Thibaud de Sézanne, in: Dahan, Gilbert (ed.), Le brûlement du Talmud à Paris, 1242–1244, Paris: Cerf, 95–120.

- De Basnage, Jacques, 1716, Histoire des Juifs, depuis Jésus-Christ jusqu'à présent. Pour servir de continuation à l'Histoire de Joseph, La Haye: Scheurleer.
- Deutsch, Yaacov, 1997, Toledot Yeshu in Christian Eyes: Reception and Response to Toledot Yeshu in the Middle Ages and Early Modern Period, MA Thesis, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem (in Hebrew).
- Deutsch, Yaacov, 2011, The Second Life of the Life of Jesus: Christian Reception of Toledot Yeshu, in: Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), Toledot Yeshu ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 283–295.
- Di Segni, Riccardo, 1984, La tradizione testuale delle *Toledoth Jeshu*. Manoscritti, Edizioni a Stampa, Classificazione, Rassegna mensile di Israel 50, 83–100.
- Di Segni, Riccardo, 1985, Il vangelo del Ghetto. Le "storie di Gesù": leggende e documenti della tradizione medievale ebraica, Rome: Newton Compton.
- Di Segni, Riccardo, 1989, Due nuovi fonti sulle Toledoth Jeshu, Rassegna mensile di Israel 55.1, 127–132.
- Facchini, Cristiana, forthcoming, Historicizing Jesus: Leon Modena (1571–1648) and the *Magen vaherev*, in: Destro, Adriana / Pesce, Mauro (eds.), Proceeding of the 2nd Annual Meeting on Christian Origins, Turnhout: Brepols.
- Faierstein, Morris M., 2016, [Zalman Zvi], Yudisher Theriak: an early modern Yiddish defense of Judaism, Detroit: Wayne University Press.
- Feliu, Eduard, 1989, "Un antievangeli jueu de l'edat mitjana: el Sefer Toledot Iesu," I Jornades de Filosofia Catalana. El debat intercultural ais segles XIII i XIV, Estudi General 9, 237–262.
- Fishman, Talya, 2003, Changing Early Modern Discourse about Christianity: The Efforts of Rabbi Leon Modena, in: Malkiel, David (ed.), The Lion Shall Roar: Leon Modena and His World, Jerusalem: Magness Press, 159–194.
- Fita, Fidel, 1887, La verdad sobre el martino del santo Niito de la Guardia, ó sea el proceso y quema (16 Noviemre, 1491) del judío Jucé Franco en Ávila, Boletin de la Real Academia de la Historia XI, 7–134.
- Fraenkel-Goldschmidt, Chava, 2006, The Historical Writings of Joseph of Rosheim: Leader of Jewry in Early Modern Germany, Leiden: Brill.
- Funkenstein, Amos, 1993, Perceptions of Jewish History, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Gager, John G. / Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel, 2015, L'éthique et/de l'autre: le christianisme à travers le regard polémique des *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Berthelot, Katell / Naiweld, Ron / Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel (eds.), L'identité à travers l'éthique. Nouvelles perspectives sur la formation des identités collectives dans le monde gréco-romain, Turnhout: Brepols, 73–90.
- Gottheil, Richard H., 1897, Our New York Letter, Jewish Chronicle, 19 November, 24.
- Graetz, Heinrich, 1853–1870, Geschichte der Juden von den ältesten Zeiten bis auf die Gegenwart, 11 vols., Leipzig: Oskar Leiner.
- Gutwirth, Eleazar, 1996, Gender, History, and the Judeo-Christian Polemic, in: Limor, Ora / Stroumsa, Guy G. (eds.), Contra Iudaeos: Ancient and Medieval Polemics between Christians and Jews, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 257–278.
- Horbury, William, 1970, A Critical Examination of the Toledoth Jeshu, PhD, Clare College, Cambridge.
- Horbury, William, 1993, Judah Briel and Seventeenth-Century Jewish Anti-Christian Polemic in Italy, Jewish Studies Quarterly 1.2, 171–192.
- Horbury, William, 2011, The Strasbourg Text of the *Toledot*, in: Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), *Toledot Yeshu* ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 49–59.
- Horbury, William, 2013, The *Toledot Yeshu* as Midrash, in: Fishbane, Michael / Weinberg, Joanna (eds.), Midrash Unbound: Transformations and Innovations, Portland, Or.: Littman Library of Jewish Civilization, 159–167.

42 | Daniel Barbu

- Horbury, William, 2016, Petrus Galatinus and Jean Thenaud on the Talmud and the Toledot Yeshu, in: Mandelbrote, Scott / Weinberg, Joanna (eds.), Jewish Books and Their Readers: Aspects of the Intellectual Life of Christians and Jews in Early Modern Europe, Leiden: Brill, 125–150.
- Horbury, William, forthcoming (a), Toledoth Jeshu: The Book and its History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Horbury, William, forthcoming (b), Titles and Origins of *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Barbu, Daniel / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), *Toledot Yeshu* in Context: Jewish–Christian Polemics in Ancient, Medieval and Modern History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Huldreich, Johann Jacob, 1705, Historia Jeschuae Nazareni a Judaeis Corrupta, Leiden: Du Vivie.
- Jellinek, Adolf, 1877, Bet ha-Midrash. Sammlung kleiner Midraschim und vermischter Abhandlungen aus der ältern jüdischen Litteratur, vol. 6, Vienna: Brüder Winter.
- Karpeles, Gustav, 1909, Geschichte der jüdischen Literatur, 2 vols., Berlin: M. Poppelauer, 2nd ed.
- Kattermann, Gerhard, 1938, Luthers Handexemplar des antijüdischen Porchetus in der Landesbibliothek Karlsruhe, Zentralblatt für Bibliothekswesen 5: 45–50.
- Kaufmann, Thomas, 2018, Luther's Jews, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kattan Gribetz, Sarit, 2001, Hanged and Crucified: the Book of Esther and *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), *Toledot Yeshu* ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 159–180.
- Krauss, Samuel, 1902, Das Leben Jesu nach jüdischen Quellen, Berlin: S. Calvary & Co.
- Lasker, Daniel J., 1977, Jewish Philosophical Polemics against Christianity in the Middle Ages, New York: Ktav Pub. House.
- Lasker, Daniel J., 1993, Anti-Christian Polemics in Eighteenth-Century Italy, Proceedings of the World Congress of Jewish Studies. Division B, Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 185–192 (in Hebrew).
- Latteri, Natalie E., 2015, Playing the Whore: Illicit Union and the Biblical Typology of Promiscuity in the *Toledot Yeshu* Tradition, Shofar 33.2, 87–102.
- Lockshin, Martin, 1993, Translation as Polemic: The Case of *Toledot Yeshu*, in: Brettler, Marc Zvi / Fishbane, Michael (eds.), Minhah Le-Nahum. Biblical and Other Studies Presented to Nahum M. Sarna in Honour of His 70th Birthday, Sheffield: JSOT Press, 226–241.
- Loeb, Isidore, 1883, Un épisode de l'histoire des Juifs de Savoie, Revue des études juives 10, 32–59. Luther, Martin, 1920, Werke, vol. 53, Weimar: Hermann Böhlaus Nachfolger.
- Meerson, Michael / Schäfer, Peter, 2014, Toledot Yeshu: The Life Story of Jesus, 2 vols., Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Mendelssohn, Moses, 1974, Schriften zum Judentum I, Rawidowicz, S. (ed.), Stuttgart and Bad Cannstatt: Frommann-Holzboog.
- Michels, Evi, 2017, Jiddische Jesus-Polemiken (Toledot Yeshu), Jiddistik Mitteilungen 57/58, 1–26.
- Michels, Evi, forthcoming, Yiddish *Toledot Yeshu* Manuscripts from the Netherlands, in: Barbu, Daniel / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), *Toledot Yeshu* in Context: Jewish–Christian Polemics in Ancient, Medieval and Modern History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Modena, Leone, 1960, Magen va-Herev. Hibbur neged ha-Nazrut, edited by Shlomo Simonsohn, Jerusalem: Mekitze Nirdamim.
- Morgenstern, Matthias, 2016, Martin Luther und das Jüdische Leben Jesu (*Toledot Yeshu*), Judaica 72.2, 219–252.
- Neubauer, Adolf, 1886, Catalogue of the Hebrew manuscripts in the Bodleian Library and in the College Libraries of Oxford, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Neubauer, Adolf, 1888, Jewish Controversy and the Pugio Fidei, Expositor 7, 81–106, 179–197.

- Nirenberg, David, 2013, Anti-Judaism: The Western Tradition, New York: W. W. Norton.
- Patschovsky, Alexander, 1992, Der 'Talmudjude'. Vom Mittelalterlichen Ursprung Eines Neuzeitlichen Themas, in: Haverkamp, Alfred / Ziwes, Franz-Josef (eds.), Juden in Der Christlichen Umwelt, Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 13–27.
- Popkin, Richard H., 1992, Jewish Anti-Christian Arguments as a Source of Irreligion from the Seventeenth to the Early Nineteenth Century, in: Hunter, Michael / Wootton, David (eds.), Atheism from the Reformation to the Enlightenment, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 159–182.
- Popkin, Richard H., 1994, Christian Jews and Jewish Christians in the 17th Century, in: Popkin, Richard H. / Weiner, Gordon W. (eds.), Jewish Christians and Christian Jews from the Renaissance to the Enlightenment, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 57–72.
- Popkin, Richard H., 2007, Disputing Christianity: The 400-Year-Old Debate over Rabbi Isaac Ben Abraham Troki's Classic Arguments, Amherst, N. Y: Humanity Books.
- Porges, Nathan, 1902, review of Krauss, S., 1902, Das Leben Jesu nach jüdischen Quellen, Berlin: S. Calvary & Co., Zeitschrift für hebraïsche Bibliographie 6, 168–178.
- Reuchlin, Johannes, 1999, Sämtliche Werke. vol. 4. Schriften zum Bücherstreit. Part 1. Reuchlins Schriften edited by Ehlers, Widu-Wolfgang / Mundt, Lothar / Roloff, Hans-Gert / Schäfer, Peter, Stuttgart and Bad Cannstatt: Frommann-Holzboog.
- Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), 2011, Toledot Yeshu ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Scharbach, Rebecca, 2013, The Ghost in the Privy: On the Origins of Nittel Nacht and Modes of Cultural Exchange, Jewish Studies Quarterly 20.4, 340–373.
- Schechter, Solomon, 1900, Some Rabbinic Parallels to the New Testament, Jewish Quarterly Review 12.3, 415–433.
- Schlichting, Günther, 1982, Ein jüdisches Leben Jesu: die verschollene *Toledot-Jeschu-Fassung Tam ū-Mūʻād*, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Schreckenberger, Heinz, 1995, Die christlichen Adversus-Judaeos-Texte und ihr literarisches und historisches Umfeld (13.–20. Jh.), Frankfurt/M.: Lang.
- Scott, James C., 1990, Domination and the Arts of Resistance: Hidden Transcripts, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Sewell, John, 2010, The Son Rebelled and So the Father Made Man Alone: Ridicule and Boundary Maintenance in the Nizzahon Vetus, in: Classen, Alexandra (ed.), Laughter in the Middle Ages and Early Modern Times: Epistemology of a Fundamental Human Behavior, Its Meaning, and Consequences, Berlin: De Gruyter, 295–324.
- Shapiro, Marc, 1999, Torah Study on Christmas Eve, Journal of Jewish Thought and Philosophy 8, 319–353.
- Simonsohn, Shlomo, 1989, The Apostolic See and the Jews. Documents: 1394–1464, Toronto: Pontifical Institute of Mediaeval Studies.
- Steinschneider, Moritz, 1850, Jüdische Literatur, in: Allgemeine Encyclopädie der Wissenschaften und Künste, Section 2, H-N, Part 27, Leipzig: Brockhaus, 357–471.
- Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel, 2016, review of Meerson, Michael / Schäfer, Peter, 2014, Toledot Yeshu: The Life Story of Jesus, 2 vols., Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, Asdiwal. Revue genevoise d'anthropologie et d'histoire des religions 11, 226–230.
- Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel, 2018, The Christian Scriptures and Toledot Yeshu, in: Blidstein, Moshe / Ruzer, Serge / Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel (eds.), Scriptures, Sacred Traditions, and Strategies of Religious Subversion. Studies in Discourse with the Work of Guy G. Stroumsa, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 191–202.
- Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel, forthcoming, On Some Early Traditions in Toledot Yeshu and the Antiquity

- of the Helena Recension, in: Barbu, Daniel / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), Toledot Yeshu in Context: Jewish-Christian Polemics in Ancient, Medieval and Modern History, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Tarantino, Giovanni, 2007, Lo scrittoio di Anthony Collins (1676–1729): i libri e i tempi di un libero pensatore, Milan: Angeli.
- von der Osten-Sacken, Peter, 2002, Martin Luther und die Juden. Neu untersucht anhand von Anton Margarithas "Der gantz Jüdisch glaub" (1530/31), Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Wagenseil, Johann Christoph, 1681, Tela Ignea Satanae. Hoc est arcani, et horribiles Judaeorum adversus Christum Deum, et Christianam religionem libri anekdotoi, 2 vols., Altdorf: Joh. Henricus Schönnerstaedt.
- Werbel, Amy B., 2018, Lust on Trial: Censorship and the Rise of American Obscenity in the Age of Anthony Comstock, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wheeler, Joseph Mazzini / Foote, George William, 1885, The Jewish Life of Christ: Being the Sepher Toldoth Jeshu, or, Book of the Generation of Jesus, London: Progressive Pub. Co.
- Wolf, Johann Christoph, 1715–1746, Bilbiotheca Hebraea, 4 vols., Hamburg and Leipzig: Christian Liebezeit, T. C. Felginer.
- Yassif, Eli, 2011, Toledot Yeshu: Folk-Narrative as Polemics and Self Criticism, in: Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), Toledot Yeshu ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 101–135.
- Yoffie, Adina 2011, Observations on the Huldreich Manuscripts of the Toledot Yeshu, in: Schäfer, Peter / Meerson, Michael / Deutsch, Yaacov (eds.), Toledot Yeshu ("The Life Story of Jesus") Revisited: A Princeton Conference, Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 61–77.